

  
Chairman Phil Mendelson

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

A PROPOSED RESOLUTION

\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

\_\_\_\_\_

To declare the existence of an emergency with respect to the need to amend the Firearms Control Regulations Act of 1975 to extend to January 1, 2016 the date for implementation of the microstamping requirement for semiautomatic pistols.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the “Microstamping Implementation Emergency Declaration Resolution of 2013”.

Sec. 2. (a) D.C. Law 17-372, the Firearms Registration Amendment Act of 2008, added to the firearms law a requirement that newly-manufactured semiautomatic pistols be “microstamp-ready.”

(b) Microstamping creates microscopic markings on a cartridge after a firearm is fired that identify the make, model, and serial number of the firearm, allowing law enforcement to identify a firearm the first time it is used in a crime.

(c) In 2007, California became the first state to require microstamping on all new models sold in the state.

1 (d) The District’s microstamping requirement was initially to be implemented in 2011, in  
2 order to incorporate best practices learned from California’s experience. However, D.C. Law  
3 18-377, the Criminal Code Amendment Act of 2010, delayed the applicability date from January  
4 1, 2011 until January 1, 2013. At that time, California had only recently issued regulations on  
5 microstamping. Because California was only beginning to put microstamping into practice, the  
6 Council voted to delay the District’s implementation in order to allow the model being developed  
7 in California to be further refined.

8 (e) D.C. Law 19-170, the Firearms Amendment Act of 2012, again delayed—to January  
9 1, 2014—implementation of microstamping in the District after the process faced further delay in  
10 California due to patents on the technology. Implementation was postponed because of the very  
11 small nature of the District’s market. The view was that once California, a much larger market,  
12 implements microstamping, it will become more feasible for implementation in the District.

13 (f) This year, the patent issues were resolved and the law went into effect in California.  
14 Because California is only now beginning to implement the microstamping requirements, for the  
15 same reasons as stated above, it is necessary to again delay the implementation of the District’s  
16 microstamping requirement to allow for more time for the requirement and implementation to  
17 take hold in California.

18 (g) The law must be amended now to delay the implementation requirement from  
19 January 1, 2014 to January 1, 2016 given that the current implementation date is approaching.

20 Sec. 3. The Council of the District of Columbia determines that the circumstances  
21 enumerated in section 2 constitute emergency circumstances making it necessary that the  
22 “Microstamping Implementation Emergency Amendment Act of 2013” be adopted after a single  
23 reading.

1

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.